



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF: Frederic M. Newman
U. S. SERIAL NO: 09/839,444
EFFECTIVE FILING DATE: April 23, 2001

DOCKET: 016
GROUP: 2862
EXAMINER: Toan M

TITLE: A Method of Managing a Well File Record at a Well Site

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231 on 12/6/02 (date).

12/6/02
Date

Signature

(Reg. 32,031)

La Crosse, Wisconsin
November 19, 2002

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action of September 11, 2002. The examiner is requested to reconsider the application in view of the applicant's remarks.

Remarks

Claims 1 – 19 remain in the application, but they have been rejected based solely on the applicant's recently issued U. S. Patent 6,377,189. So, it is not a question of whether the applicant's claims should be allowed, but rather in which application the claims belong. The currently rejected claims should either be allowed in the above-identified application or in a Reissue application of the '189 patent. In other words, if the '189 patent sufficiently discloses the current invention, then that same disclosure should be sufficient to support the applicant's current claims. The applicant, nonetheless, will explain why he believes the claims should be allowed in the current application.